

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JUN 24 2013

1(OILIII)	Phil Lombardi, Clerk
(1) Mark Jones,) U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,) Case Not 3 CV - 372 CVE - TLW
v.	(Formerly Tulsa County Case No. CJ-2013-02407)
(1) WATCO Companies, LLC,	Case No. CJ-2013-02407)
Defendant.))

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441, and 1446, Defendant, WATCO Companies, LLC ("Watco"), hereby removes the state action described herein from the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma, to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma. Removal is proper for the following reasons:

- 1. Watco is a defendant in a civil action brought against it in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma and titled *Mark Jones v. Watco Companies, LLC*, Case No. CJ-2013-02407 (the "State Court Case").
- 2. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff was at the time of filing of this action and is at the present time a resident and citizen of Tulsa County in the State of Oklahoma. (See Petition, Exhibit 1, at ¶ 1).
 - 3. Plaintiff worked for Watco in Tulsa County, among other places.
- 4. The United States District Court has original jurisdiction in this action because Plaintiff's claims arise under the laws of the United States. More specifically, Plaintiff's action of is based on alleged rights created by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Therefore, these claims are founded on and arise out of the laws of the United States and, as such, are removable to the District Court of the United States without regard to the citizenship or residence of the



parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b). This Court has jurisdiction over

Plaintiff's state law claims in Counts I, II and III pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(c).

5. The aforementioned action was commenced by service of summons upon Watco

on May 28, 2013, and this Notice of Removal is, therefore, timely filed under the provisions of

28 U.S.C. § 1446.

8. A copy of all process, pleadings, and other documents filed in the State Court

Case is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

9. A copy of the docket sheet in the State Court Case is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

10. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), written notice of the filing of this Notice of

Removal will be given to counsel for the Plaintiff, and a copy of the Notice of Removal will be

filed with the Clerk of the District Court of Tulsa County, State of Oklahoma.

Respectfully submitted,

Amy E. Sellars

RANDALL J. SNAPP, OBA # 11169

AMY E. SELLARS, OBA #30202

- Of the Firm -

Crowe & Dunlevy

321 South Boston, Ste. 500

Tulsa, OK 74103-3313

(918) 592-9855

(918) 599-6335

randall.snapp@crowedunlevy.com

amy.sellars@crowedunlevy.com

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT WATCO

COMPANIES

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was delivered to the Court Clerk of Tulsa County, Oklahoma, Tulsa County Courthouse, 500 S. Denver, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103 and was mailed, postage prepaid, this 24 day of June, 2013 to the following attorney for Plaintiff:

Anthony Allen Allen & Wisner 101 W. Broadway Muskogee, OK 74401

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Amy E. Sellars



FILE D

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

MAY 17 2013

1.	MARK JONES,) BALLY HOWE CALLE COURT CLEDE
	Plaintiff,	GJ-2013 O2407
	v.) Case No.
1.	WATCO COMPANIES, L.L.C.,) ATTORNEY'S LIEN CLAIMED)
	Defendant)

PETITION

MARY F. FITZGERALD

Mark Jones, for his Petition against Watco Companies, L.L.C., states as follows:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 1. At all times relevant hereto, the plaintiff has resided in Tulsa County in the State of Oklahoma.
- 2. At all times relevant hereto, the defendant was a foreign entitiv doing business in Oklahoma.
- 3. Mr. Jones was an employee of the defendant from approximately July of 2003 until approximately October of 2011, when the defendant terminated Mr. Jones's employment. At the time Mr. Jones was hired, the defendant was a foreign for profit corporation incorporated in the State of Kansas. In December of 2010 it appears that the defendant converted to a Limited Liability Company.
- 4. At all times mentioned herein, the defendant was an employer within the meaning of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Civil Rights Act"), Title 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b) and as the term is defined by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC").
- Mr. Jones worked for the defendant's railroad operations in multiple venues, including in Tulsa County.

EXHIBIT

Listophotos

Listophotos

l

- 6. Tulsa County is the proper venue for this action because this is the District in which the plaintiff resides and in which the defendant does business and in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.
- 7. The plaintiff has been generally damaged in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of the Court.
- 8. Although this *Petition* is divided into sections, it is intended to be read as a whole, with each part incorporating all others.

STATEMENT OF FACTS RELEVANT TO ALL CLAIMS

- 9. Mr. Jones, a black man, was a loyal, dedicated employee of the defendant up to the day he was fired. He had been recognized for high performance and was promoted in March 2011 to site manager at the Holly refinery location in Tulsa, Oklahoma
- 10. In May of 2011, Mr. Jones was demoted to conductor and transferred to the Stroud, Oklahoma location without explanation. Mr. Jones was told by a departing member of management that race was an issue with his position and that another manager had said that she did not want "niggers in charge."
- 11. Mr. Jones had witnessed and reported a number of safety violations before being promoted to management, while a manager and following his term in management.
- 12. On or about October 28, 2011, Mr. Jones was fired for wearing shorts while in a company vehicle. Mr. Jones had not, however, committed this infraction, instead having voluntarily driven a co-worker to the job site in his personal vehicle while not on duty.
- 13. Mr. Jones told management of the shorts misunderstanding, as did the employee who benefited from the ride to work, but management did not reverse the decision or allow Mr. Jones any opportunity to further dispute the matter.

- 14. Mr. Jones had witnessed non-black employees violate company policy and even make serious safety errors that were known to management without being fired.
- 15. Shortly after his termination, Mr. Jones learned that Mr. Jones's former manager had said "one nigger down, one to go." There was only one other black employee similarly situated to Mr. Jones at that time and he was fired soon thereafter.

COUNT I: MENTAL AND EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 16. The defendant owed the plaintiff a duty of care during the plaintiff's employment period with the defendant, including the duty to avoid inflicting upon him emotional distress by the manner in which the defendant operated its business and in the manner of continuing or discontinuing the plaintiff's employment.
- 17. Throughout his employment with the defendant, the plaintiff was confronted with the defendant's improper business practices, including the violation of statutes.
- 18. As a result of the defendant's breach of its duty of care to the plaintiff, the plaintiff suffered irreparable injuries, including but not limited to loss of pay, benefits and other economic losses, emotional pain and suffering, mental anguish, humiliation, embarrassment, personal indignity and other intangible injuries for all of which he should be compensated.
 - 19. The defendant's actions were reckless, entitling the plaintiff to punitive damages.

COUNT II: WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY

- 20. The defendant wrongfully terminated the plaintiff's employment in violation of various public policies of the State of Oklahoma.
- 21. Among other things, the defendant discharged the plaintiff from his employment in retaliation for his complaints of workplace safety violations. Terminating employment for voicing concerns about workplace safety violates a clear mandate of Oklahoma public policy.

- 22. By the aforesaid acts and omissions of the defendant, the plaintiff has been directly and legally caused to suffer actual damages including, but not limited to, loss of earnings and future earning capacity, attorneys' fees, costs of suit and other pecuniary loss not presently ascertained, in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 23. As a further direct and legal result of the acts and conduct of the defendant, the plaintiff has been caused to and did suffer and continues to suffer severe emotional and mental distress, anguish, humiliation, embarrassment, fright, shock, and anxiety.
- 24. The defendant, by engaging in the aforementioned acts and in authorizing and ratifying such acts, engaged in willful, malicious, intentional, oppressive and despicable conduct, and acted with willful and conscious disregard of the rights and welfare of the plaintiff, thereby justifying the award of punitive and exemplary damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT III: BREACH OF IMPLIED CONTRACT

- 25. On or about July 3, 2003, the plaintiff and the defendant entered into an implied unilateral contract whereby the defendant's personnel manual and other mandatory employment documents acted as offers for a unilateral contract accepted by the plaintiff's action to continue working for the defendant.
- 26. The defendant breached the contract by terminating the plaintiff's employment in contravention of the implied unilateral contract the manner of operating the defendant's business and in the manner of continuing or discontinuing the plaintiff's employment.
- 27. The plaintiff has performed all conditions precedent to recover under the contract and has not excused the defendant's breach.

• CJ-2019 02407

28. As a result of the defendant's breach of the implied unilateral contract, the plaintiff has sustained damages including but not limited to the amount of lost earnings and employment benefits and the amount of damages for mental and emotional distress or anguish.

COUNT IV: VIOLATION OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

- 29. The defendant's actions described herein were intentional and demonstrate differing employment standards for similarly situtuated, non-minority employees and the plaintiff, who is a member of a protected class. Such actions and standards created a hostile work environment and were adverse to the plaintiff's employment situation and status.
- 30. The defendant's termination of the plaintiff was without sufficient justification and grossly disproportiant to any perceived misconduct. As such, the defendant's action was discriminatory as to the plaintiff. The defendant unfairly treated and ultimately terminated the plaintiff, at least in part because of his race.
- 31. Such adverse employment actions were in violation of the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2.
- 32. As a result of Defendant's actions, the plaintiff has suffered irreparable injuries, including but not limited to loss of pay, benefits and other economic losses, emotional pain and suffering, mental anguish, humiliation, embarrassment, personal indignity and other intangible injuries for all of which he should be compensated.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff prays that this Court award:

A. Judgment against the defendant for actual and punitive damages for the defendant's act of negligent infliction of emotional distress;



- B. Judgment against the defendant for actual and punitive damages for the defendant's act of retaliatory discharge in contravention of Oklahoma's public policy;
- Judgment against the defendant for actual and punitive damages for the defendant's breach of implied contract;
- D. Judgment against the defendant for violation of the Civil Rights Act;
- E. Judgment against the defendant for the costs of litigation, including a reasonable attorney's fee;
- F. Any and all other relief as this Court deems appropriate according to equity, justice and the evidence presented.

Respectfully submitted,

Anthony L. Allen OBA# 19738

ALLEN & WISNER 101 W. Broadway Muskogee, Oklahoma 74401 918.683.5291 918.683.3397 fax

anthony@oklahomaslawfirm.com

Attorney for the Plaintiff





IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Mark Jones,	. }	FILED
Plaintiff,)	JUN 1 3 2013
v.	Case No. CJ-2013-02407 Mary F. Fitzgerald	
WATCO Companies, LLC,)	SALLY HOWE SMITHLESS ESCAPE
Defendant.	′	

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

COMES NOW Randall J. Snapp and Amy E. Sellars and enter their appearances in the above styled matter for Defendant WATCO Companies, LLC.

Respectfully submitted,

Randall J. Snapp, OBA #11169 Amy E. Sellars, OBA #30202

-Of the Firm-

CROWE & DUNLEVY
A Professional Corporation
500 Kennedy Building
321 South Boston Avenue
Tulsa, OK 74103-3313
(918) 592-9800
(918) 592-9801 (Facsimile)

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT WATCO COMPANIES, LLC



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify	that a true an	d correct	сору о	f the	above	and	foregoing	was	mailed,
postage prepaid, this	day of Jun	e, 2013 to	o:						

Anthony Lee Allen 101 W. Broadway Muskogee, OK 74401

Amy E. Sulars

S	TATE OF OKLAHOMA	DISTRICT COURT
Mark Jones,)	FILED
Plaintiff,)	JUN 1 3 2013
v.	Case No. CJ-2013-02407 Mary F. Fitzgerald	
WATCO Companies, LLC,		SALLY HOWE SMITH, COURT CLERK STATE HE HALD THE SHIPPY
Defendant.	. }	

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF TULSA COUNTY

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PETITION

Defendant WATCO Companies, LLC ("WATCO") moves for an extension of time to respond to the petition for reasons set forth below. Defendant is not filing a "reservation of time" pursuant to 12 O.S. § 2012(A), but is only requesting an extension of time, without waiver of any procedural rights, objections, or defenses. Defendant moves the court for an order extending its time to respond to the Petition by 15 days, or until July 2, 2013, and shows the court:

- 1. Without this requested extension, defendant's response would be due on June 17, 2013;
- 2. Defendant has neither requested nor been granted a previous extension of time to respond to the Petition, and there are no scheduled deadlines that would be adversely affected by the granting of the requested extension. Counsel for plaintiff has stated that he has no objection to the requested extension;
- 3. This extension is necessary to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time to confer with the defendant and to conduct the necessary factual or legal investigation in order to prepare an appropriate response.

Respectfully submitted,

Randall J. Snapp, OBA #11169 Amy E. Sellars, OBA #30202

-Of the Firm-

CROWE & DUNLEVY A Professional Corporation 500 Kennedy Building 321 South Boston Avenue Tulsa, OK 74103-3313 (918) 592-9800 (918) 592-9801 (Facsimile)

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT WATCO COMPANIES, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was mailed, postage prepaid, this 131 day of June, 2013 to:

Anthony Lee Allen 101 W. Broadway Muskogee, OK 74401

Amy E. Sellars

Case 4:13-cv-00372-CVE-tlw Document 2 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 06/24/13 Page 14 of 17

OCIS Case Summary for CJ-2013-2407- JONES, MARK v. WATCO COMPANIES LL... Page 1 of 4

SCN THE OKLAHOMA STATE COURTS NETWORK

Home Courts Court Dockets Legal Research Calendar Help

The information on this page is NOT an official record. Do not rely on the correctness or completeness of this information. Verify all information with the official record keeper. The information contained in this report is provided in compliance with the Oklahoma Open Records Act, <u>51 O.S. 24A.1</u>. Use of this information is governed by this act, as well as other applicable state and federal laws.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

No. CJ-2013-2407

MARK JONES. (Civil relief more than \$10,000:

Plaintiff,

BREACH OF AGREEMENT - CONTRACT)

WATCO COMPANIES LLC,

Judge: Fitzgerald, Mary

Parties

JONES, MARK , Plaintiff

Defendant.

WATCO COMPANIES LLC, Defendant

Attorneys

Attorney
Allen, Anthony Lee(Bar # 19738)

Allen, Anthony Lee(Bar # 19738) 101 W BROADWAY MUSKOGEE, OK 74401 **Represented Parties**

JONES, MARK

Events

Event Party Docket Reporter

Issues

For cases filed before 1/1/2000, ancillary issues may not appear except in the docket.

Issue # 1. Issue: BREACH OF AGREEMENT - CONTRACT (CONTRACT)

Filed by: JONES, MARK Filed Date: 05/17/2013

Party Name: Disposition Information:

<u>Defendant:</u> WATCO COMPANIES Pending.

LLC

Issue # 2. Issue: INTENTIONAL INFLICTION EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

(EMOTION)

Filed by: JÓNES, MARK Filed Date: 05/17/2013

<u>Party Name:</u> <u>Disposition Information:</u>

Defendant: WATCO COMPANIES Pending.

LLC

Issue # 3. Issue: CRA VIOLATION (OTHER)

Filed by: JONES, MARK

EXHIBIT

Seringsian

OCIS Case Summary for CJ-2013-2407- JONES, MARK v. WATCO COMPANIES LL... Page 2 of 4

Filed Date: 05/17/2013
<u>Disposition Information:</u>

Party Name:

<u>Defendant:</u> WATCO COMPANIES Pending.

Docket

						····	***************************************
Date 05-17-2013		Count 1 IORE THA	Party AN \$10,000 INITIAL	Serial # 85491018 . FILING.	Entry Date May 17 2013 11:27:53:643AM	-	\$ 0.00
05-17-2013	OTHER BREACH AGRE	- EMENT/	CONTRACT	85491020	May 17 2013 1:48:37:187PM	Realized	\$ 0.00
05-17-2013	DMFE DISPUTE MEDIA	- ATION FE	EE(\$ 2.00)	85491021	May 17 2013 11:27:53:703AM	Realized	\$ 2.00
05-17-2013	PFE1 PETITION(\$ 163	•	1021822548)	85491022	May 17 2013 1:41:15:993PM	Realized	\$ 163.00
05-17-2013		_		85491023	May 17 2013 11:27:53:703AM	Realized	\$ 6.00
05-17-2013		- OURT INF	ORMATION SYSTE	85491024 EM REVOL	May 17 2013 11:27:53:703AM LVING FUND(\$ 25.00)	Realized	\$ 25.00
05-17-2013	CCADMIN02 COURT CLERK	- ADMINIS	STRATIVE FEE ON	85491025 \$2 COLLE	May 17 2013 11:27:53:703AM ECTIONS(\$ 0.20)	Realized	\$ 0.20
05-17-2013		- DUNCIL O	N JUDICIAL COMF	85491026 PLAINTS R	May 17 2013 11:27:53:703AM EVOLVING FUND(\$ 2	Realized	\$ 2.00
05-17-2013		- OURT APF	POINTED SPECIAL	85491027 . ADVOCA	May 17 2013 11:27:53:703AM TES(\$ 5.00)	Realized	\$ 5.00
05-17-2013	CCADMIN04 COURT CLERK	- ADMINIS	STRATIVE FEE ON	85491028 COLLECT	May 17 2013 11:27:53:703AM TONS(\$ 0.50)	Realized	\$ 0.50
05-17-2013	LTF LENGTHY TRIA	- L FUND(S	\$ 10.00)	85491029	May 17 2013 11:27:53:843AM	Realized	\$ 10.00
05-17-2013	SMF SUMMONS FEE	- : (CLERK	S FEE)(\$ 5.00)	85491030	May 17 2013 11:27:53:893AM	Realized	\$ 5.00

Case 4:13-cv-00372-CVE-tlw Document 2 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 06/24/13 Page 16 of 17
OCIS Case Summary for CJ-2013-2407- JONES, MARK v. WATCO COMPANIES LL... Page 3 of 4

05-17-2013 SMIP - 85491031 May 17 2013 11:27:53:933AM Realized \$ 0.00
SUMMONS ISSUED - PRIVATE PROCESS SERVER

05-17-2013	3 SMIP	-		85491031	May 17 2013 11:27:53:933AM	Realized	\$ 0.00
	SUMMONS IS	SUED - PF	RIVATE PROCESS	SERVER			
05-17-2013		-		85491019	May 17 2013 11:27:53:663AM	-	\$ 0.00
	OCIS HAS AU	TOMATIC	ALLY ASSIGNED	IUDGE FIT	ZGERALD, MARY T	O THIS CASE.	
05-17-2013		-		85491072	May 17 2013 11:29:42:260AM	Realized	\$ 5.47
	ADJUSTING E	NTRY: MO	ONIES DUE TO AC	09-CARD	ALLOCATION(\$ 5.4	7)	
05-17-2013	3 ACCOUNT	-		85491073	May 17 2013 11:29:42:260AM	-	\$ 0.00
	FOLLOWING A CJ-2013-2407: CJ-2013-2407: CJ-2013-2407: CJ-2013-2407:	AMOUNTS AC81 LEI AC79 OC AC64 DIS	S: NGTHY TRIAL FUN IS REVOLVING FU SPUTE MEDIATION	ND -\$0.25 JND -\$0.63 N FEES -\$0			
	CJ-2013-2407: CJ-2013-2407:	AC31 CO AC23 LAV	LAHOMA COURT OURT CLERK REVO W LIBRARY FEE - ERK FEES -\$4.19	DLVING FL	ED SPECIAL ADVOC JND -\$0.02	CATES -\$0.13	erenden anderson and anderson
05-17-2013	3 ACCOUNT	-		85491074	May 17 2013 11:29:42:470AM	-	\$ 0.00
	PAYOR:ANTH LINE ITEMS: CJ-2013-2407: CJ-2013-2407: CJ-2013-2407: CJ-2013-2407: CJ-2013-2407: FUND. CJ-2013-2407: CJ-2013-2407:	\$163.81 (\$5.47 ON \$5.85 ON \$0.68 ON \$1.95 ON \$24.37 ON \$24.37 O		EES. DCATIONS RY FEE. ERK REVO A COURT A COUNCII	DLVING FUND. APPOINTED SPECI L ON JUDICIAL COI I FEES. UND.		
06-13-2013	3 МО	-	WATCO COMPANIES	85761046	Jun 13 2013 4:19:37:260PM	-	\$ 0.00
	UNOPPOSED OF SERVICE Document A			OF TIME T	O RESPOND TO PE	ETITION / CERT	TFICATE
					lun 12 2012		
06-13-2013		-	WATCO COMPANIES	85/610/1	Jun 13 2013 4:20:39:810PM	-	\$ 0.00
		CS / CER	TIFICATE OF SER		AMY E SELLARS E	NTERING AS	
	— Doument A	Tanabie (#					
06-18-2013	CTFREE	-		85808877	Jun 19 2013 9:05:22:570AM	-	\$ 0.00

FITZGERALD, MARY: ORDER ENTERED/ DFS MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PETITION IS GRANTED. DF HAS UNTIL 7-2-2013 TO RESPOND. NOTICES SENT TO AMY SELLERS, ANTHONY ALLEN

Case 4:13-cv-00372-CVE-tlw Document 2 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 06/24/13 Page 17 of 17

OCIS Case Summary for CJ-2013-2407- JONES, MARK v. WATCO COMPANIES LL... Page 4 of 4

06-18-2013 O - 85811877 Jun 19 2013 10:22:05:140AM - \$0.00

ORDER AFD OF MAILING

Document Available (#1022171503)

Report Generated by The Oklahoma Court Information System at June 21, 2013 15:26 PM

End of Transmission.